

REMARKS

Status of the Application

Claims 1-22, 24, and 25 are pending in the application. Claims 1-9 and 24 are allowed. Claims 16-22 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards the invention. Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehavi (US Publication 2005/0083878) in view of Cannon et al. (US Patent 7,058,358). Claims 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

By this Amendment, Applicants are amending claims 11 and 16, and canceling claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 16-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards the invention.

The Examiner alleges that claim 16 is unclear regarding the relationship between the communicable base stations, the wireless communication network and the wireless communication equipment. Applicants hereby amend claim 16 in order to obviate the rejection. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 and 24 are allowed.

Claims 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-9 are allowable. Applicants further thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 11-15 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. By this Amendment, Applicants hereby write claim 11 into independent form and cancel claim 10. Claims 12-15 depend from claim 11, and these claims should be in condition for immediate allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehavi (US Publication 2005/0083878) in view of Cannon et al. (US Patent 7,058,358).

Applicant hereby cancels claim 10 without prejudice, rendering the instant rejection moot. Therefore, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Application No.: 10/628,557

Attorney Docket No.: Q76705

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Dion R. Ferguson/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Dion R. Ferguson
Registration No. 59,561

Date: March 31, 2008